

Mid-Term Presentation 15 / 16 March 2022

Derivation of Requirements for Data Collection

Christian Gutenkunst, AVL Deutschland GmbH

Supported by:

Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action

on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag

Data Collection in the VVM Project





- Showed the **dataflow/processing** in the project
- "The OMEGA Format A Comprehensive Open-Source Measurement Data Format"
 - Showed the advantages compared to OpenDRIVE, OpenSCENARIO, OSI, Lanelet2, PEGASUS and L3Pilot-CDF
 - > and the **required information** for data collection
- How have the requirements for the data collection be derived?





Requirements on Data Collection

- In order to substantiate the safety argumentation with evidences, various methods in the VVM project require the collection of suitable data
- requirements on data collection can be split in two main categories
 - **semantic requirements**, that
 - specify constraints on the content of the required data
 - can be provided by a methodical <u>Criticality Analysis</u>
 - technical requirements, that
 - specify constraint on the measurement system and ist sensors
 - can be obtained from analysis of the operational domain, e.g.
 - urban intersection





Semantic Requirements on Data Collection



- > a <u>Criticality Analysis</u> provides artifacts such as
 - criticality phenomena and their underlying causal relations, that
 - can be used to formulate semantic
 requirements on data collection
- a description for a data collection drive can be build upon
 - > an abstract scenario
 - specified by constraints
 - > a criticality phenomenon of interest
 - > a hypothesized causal relation
 - various additional information



Overview Functional Use Cases (FUCs)



	Functional Use Case 1 (FUC1)	Functional Use Case 2 (FUC2)	Functional Use Case 3 (FUC3)
Core Concept	left turn on an X-crossing with traffic lights	straight passing of a T-crossing with pedestrian crossing	left turn on a bending right of way X-crossing with an angled arm
Sketch			
Variation 1	+ oncoming traffic with right of way	+ traffic from the right with right of way	+ traffic from the right with right of way
Variation 2	 + oncoming traffic + occlusion through oncoming crossing traffic 	 + pedestrian using crosswalk + bicyclist crossing in same direction + oncoming traffic wants to cross left 	+ traffic, needs to give right of way+ pedestrian with right of way
Variation 3	+ non-functional traffic lights+ rush hour traffic	 + parking cars + bicyclist using crosswalk + occlusion of bicyclist by parking cars 	 + ambulance with right of way + following car, needs to give right of way

Requirements for Measurement System



Use Case Analysis

- Differentiation between scenario Stop & Go and Passing
- Relevant targets motorcyclist, bicyclist (recumbent) and pedestrians (child 1m tall)
- Calculation of required perception range, certain assumptions were made for deacceleration, acceleration, friction coefficient, minimum number of pixels (horizontal and vertical), width and height of relevant target, etc.
- > Different intersection scenarios were evaluated

Offline Perception

- To identify an object the following requirements, need to be fulfilled:
 - $R_h x R_v$ pixels in camera image
 - N points in LiDAR point cloud
 - Min. recording frequency



Example for Camera Sensor Selection



8 MP camera sensor

- Sensor resolution:
- Field of View:
- Angular resolution:
- Perception requirements:
- Target geometry:
- Perception distance:

$res_h = 4000 px$	γ
$\alpha_h = 100^\circ$	С
$\alpha_h/_{res_h} = 0,025^{\circ}/\text{px}$	a
$R_h = 10 \text{px}$	F
$t_{h} = 0,4m$	t
$d_h = 91,7m$	C

$$res_v = 2000px$$

 $\alpha_v = 60^\circ$
 $\alpha_v/_{res_v} = 0.03^\circ/px$
 $R_v = 10px$
 $t_v = 1.0m$
 $d_v = 191.0m$





Motorcycle Intersection Coverage 40 20 y in m 0 -20 --40 20 40 -40 -20 0 x in m





Pedestrian



Intersection Coverage





Pedestrian



Intersection Coverage



Area 100x100m





Area 400x400m



Sensor Coverage



Insights in Data Capturing Vehicle



- Sensor setup selection done
- Commissioning completed
- First data capturing done
- Modular approach for Sensor under Test integration









Insights in Integrated Sensor under Test



- Extending the sensor suit in the vehicle, with automotive sensors like Bosch Radar and 2x Valeo LiDARs are integrated into the vehicle, in order to capture the data parallel, which can be compared with the reference sensor data.
- > Sensor evaluation against reference system is possible.



Insights in Analyzing the Drive Specification



- Analysis of the "Functional Use Case" from criticality analysis team
- Deriving the requirements for the route segments (layers 1, 2, 3) with the aid of
 - Accident Atlas
 - Google Maps
 - OpenStreetMaps
- Generation of a route based on the route segments found

Functional Use Case 1



Route for first measuring drive



Summary – What We Have Achieved



- Reference measurement technology concept developed and commissioned
- Modular approach for integration and recording of Sensor-under-Test
- Process for measurement drive planning defined
- > Data provisioning challenges solved inside a consortium with 20+ (from OEM, TIER1 and R&D) members
- > Data format between anonymized raw data and labelling supplier aligned







Thank you!

Christian Gutenkunst | AVL Deutschland GmbH | Christian.Gutenkunst@avl.com

Christian Neurohr, DLR; Himanshu Walia, Xun Dai, Max Nestoriuc, AVL



A project developed by the VDA Leitinitiative autonomous and connected driving

> on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag

Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs

and Climate Action

Supported by: